

Smiljanic 2000-0230

REMARKS

Claims 8, 9, and 12-16 were objected to as being in improper form. Claim 18 was rejected under 35 USC 112 as being indefinite, but the deficiency of claim 18 is similar to the deficiency of claims 8, 9, and 12-16; to wit, improper recitation of claims in a multiply dependent claims. These deficiencies, as well as the other deficiencies that were cited by the Examiner and which gave rise to the claim objections, are corrected herein.

As amended, it is believed that all of the Examiner's objections and rejections have been overcome. Reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-13 are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully,
Aleksandra Smiljanic

Dated: 3/24/05

By Henry T. Brendzel
Henry T. Brendzel
Reg. No. 26,844
Phone (973) 467-2025
Fax (973) 467-6589
email brendzel@comcast.net